



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/849,294

05/19/2004

FredERIC Plante

IBM-021

5608

51835 7590 05/31/2007

IBM LOTUS & RATIONAL SW  
c/o GUERIN & RODRIGUEZ  
5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE  
MOUNT ROYAL OFFICE PARK  
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752

EXAMINER

WEI, ZHENG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/31/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/849,294

Applicant(s)

PLANTE, FREDERIC

Examiner

Zheng Wei

Art Unit

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Zheng Wei.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William G. Guerin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chiu et al. (US 6,035,121).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant points out that the prior art does not teach the limitations of two-way synchronization and concurrently modification in claim 1. Because the prior art only discloses one-way synchronization to generate localized version software and localized version can not be modified. The Examiner will consider Applicant's position and wait for official amendment for further prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TUAN DAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

---

**Guerin & Rodriguez, LLP**

---

**ATTORNEYS AT LAW**

**5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE  
MOUNT ROYAL OFFICE PARK  
OFFICE (508) 303-2003 MARLBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS 01752 FAX (508) 303-0005**

**TELECOPY COVER SHEET**

---

EQUIPMENT OPERATOR CONTACT NUMBER: 508-303-3373. PLEASE CALL IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES.

**TO: EXAMINER ZHENG WEI  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**

**Telephone: (571) 270-1059**

**Fax: (571) 270-2059**

**FROM: Sender Name: William G. Guerin (Reg. No. 41,047)**

**Number of Pages INCLUDING This Cover Sheet: 3**

**RE: Office Action mailed April 17, 2007**

**Application Serial No.: 10/849,294**


**Attorney Docket No. IBM-021**

**COMMENTS:**

**DO NOT ENTER INTO CASE FILE – FOR EXAMINER REVIEW  
AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY.**

**PLEASE CALL WILLIAM GUERIN AT 508-303-2003, EXT. 11 TO SCHEDULE  
A TIME FOR A TELEPHONE CALL FOR A DISCUSSION ACCORDING TO  
THE ATTACHED INTERVIEW AGENDA. THANK YOU FOR YOUR  
CONSIDERATION.**

This facsimile is subject to attorney-client privilege and contains confidential information intended only for the person(s) named above. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and destroy the original transmission without making a copy.

Sent by  Date sent 5-14-07 Time sent 11:00 AM EST

**DO NOT ENTER INTO CASE FILE -**  
**FOR EXAMINER REVIEW AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**  
Page 1 of 2

**METHOD FOR SYNCHRONIZATION OF CONCURRENTLY MODIFIED  
INTERDEPENDENT SEMI-DERIVED ARTIFACTS**

S/N 10/849,294 – Our ref. no. 021

**PROPOSED AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW**

We propose a discussion of the underlined phrases in representative claim 1 as shown below with respect to the 102 rejection based on U.S. Patent No. 6,035,121 (Chiu) and a discussion of the comments that follow.

1. A method for synchronizing a first artifact and a second artifact, the first and second artifacts being interdependent and concurrently modified, the first and second artifacts each having a plurality of elements, the method comprising:

generating a temporary artifact having all the elements of a last synchronized version of the first artifact and having all the elements of a latest version of the second artifact transformed as the first artifact;

merging the temporary artifact and a latest version of the first artifact to create a synchronized version of the first artifact; and

generating a synchronized version of the second artifact having all the elements of the latest version of the second artifact and having all the elements of the synchronized version of the first artifact transformed as the second artifact.

**COMMENTS:**

- (1) The method described in Chiu is one-way, that is, translation is always described in one direction, and therefore there is no interdependency of artifacts disclosed in Chiu.

**DO NOT ENTER INTO CASE FILE -**  
**FOR EXAMINER REVIEW AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**

Page 2 of 2

- (2) Any artifacts that might be disclosed in Chiu are not concurrently modified. Translation to a local language is performed only after the current version in the main (software development) is completed.
- (3) If you consider the latest version of one of the artifacts in Chiu to be the current software version in the development language, then what can be interpreted to be the latest version of the other artifact?